

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,575	11/21/2003	Richard K. Hoofard	87269.4042	87269.4042 9401	
7:	590 02/14/2005		EXAMINER		
Baker & Hostetler LLP			ADDIE, RAYMOND W		
Washington Sq Suite 1100	uare		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1050 Connecticut Avenue			3671		
Washington, D	OC 20036		DATE MAILED: 02/14/200	DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1				11			
\dashv		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Y		10/717,575	HOOFARD ET AL				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Raymond W. Addie	3671				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		e correspondence ac	idress			
Per	iod for Reply	TO EVOIDE . MONT					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Sta	tus						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De						
2	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11,	433 0.0. 213.				
Dis	position of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement.					
Δni	olication Papers						
~P	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	I1)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			_			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eived in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International Bureau		hived				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rece	-				
Atta	chment(s)						
1) [Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) [3) [Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04.	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
				···			

Application/Control Number: 10/717,575

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, 17-23, 33-37, drawn to a dock leveler and method utilizing a lip holder to retract a support leg regardless of the speed of descent of the dock leveler, classified in class 14, subclass 69.5.
 - II. Claims 8-16, 24-32, drawn to a dock leveler with speed sensitive support leg retraction apparatus, classified in class 14, subclass 72.5.
 - III. Claims 38, 39, drawn to a method of disengaging a support leg retraction apparatus, classified in class 14, subclass 71.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination only relies upon the lip holder structure and its function for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as preventing stump-out related damage to the dock leveler; which is overridden by the function of the combination.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,575

Art Unit: 3671

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the method of Group III cannot be performed by the invention of Group I, since the invention of Group I is not configured to "move a camming surface with a lip portion of the dock leveler" when the lip is not in motion, i.e. in the pendant position.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the method of Group III cannot be performed by the invention of Group II, since the invention of Group II is not configured to "move a camming surface with a lip portion of the dock leveler" when the lip is not in motion, i.e. in the pendant position.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,575

Art Unit: 3671

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Kenneth Sheehan on 1/26/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3671

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond W. Addie whose telephone number is 703

305-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-2PM, 6-8PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Addie Patent Examiner Group 3600